## Pupil Premium Strategy Statement Downton Primary School 2019 - 2020

| 1. Summary information |           |                                  |  |  |           |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------|--|--|
| School                 | Downton C | ownton CE Primary School         |  |  |           |  |  |
| Academic Year          | 2019 - 20 | Total PP budget                  | £29,885                                  | Date of most recent PP Review                  | Sept 2019 |  |  |
| Total number of pupils | 261       | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 11 FSM/Ever 6<br>3 service<br>1 Post LAC | Date for next internal review of this strategy | Feb 20    |  |  |

| 2. Current attainment   |  |                                     |                                     |                 |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Does not include progress scores for one pupil working at ARE | Pupils eligible for<br>PP<br>July 2017 | Pupils eligible for PP<br>July 2018 | Pupils eligible for PP<br>July 2018 | All pupils 2019 |
| % achieving Expected Standard in reading, writing and maths   | 0%                                     | 25%                                 | 40%                                 | 50%             |
| Average Progress Score - Reading                              | -1.5 (25%)                             | -1.92* (50%)                        | +1.28 (80%)                         | +1.12 (91%)     |
| Average Progress Score - Writing                              | 0.5 (25%)                              | 0.78* (75%)                         | -3.3 (40%)                          | -0.6 (78%)      |
| Average Progress Score - Maths                                | -4.4 (0%)                              | -6.13* (25%)                        | + 1.12 (60%)                        | -1.91 (64%)     |

| 3.     | 3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| In-sch | In-school barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A.     | To diminish the difference between the attainment of PP pupils and non-PP pupils at the end of KS1 and KS2 Increase rates of progress for disadvantaged pupils in both key stages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B.     | Significant attainment gap between PP and non-PP pupils in writing  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.     | Increasing pupil resilience, therefore improving pupil attitudes  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extern | External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D.     | Persistent absenteeism of a number of children eligible for PP is impacting on their attainment and progress  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 4. De | esired outcomes  |   |
|-------|--|---|
|       | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured   | Success criteria  |
| A.    | To diminish the difference between the attainment of PP pupils and non-PP pupils at the end of KS1 and KS2                   | Attainment of PP pupils is in line with national average for ALL pupils Attainment of non-SEN PP pupils in in line with national average for Non-PP pupils              |
| В.    | To continue to increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in maths and writing to exceed KS1 starting points | Increase in % of PP pupils achieving expected standard in maths and writing All non-SEN PP pupils achieve the expected standard in maths and reading                    |
| C.    | A growth mindset culture amongst pupils and staff based on high-expectations of all learners is evident                      | Pupil perceptions of themselves as learners is positive – staff feedback at pupil progress meetings is that of increased resilience amongst pupils                      |
| D.    | Attendance rates for some PPG pupils will increase, as engagement with parents is improved.                                  | Pupil's % for attendance is below current levels - Evidence in learning and termly attendance data. Significant reduction in % of PP pupils who are persistently absent |

## 5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2019 – 20

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

## i. Quality of teaching for all

| Desired outcome  | Chosen action / approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|--|--|--|---|------------|--------------------------------------|
| To diminish the difference between the attainment of PP pupils and non-PP pupils at the end of KS1 and KS2  Increase rates of progress for disadvantaged pupils in both key stages | KS1 and KS2 leaders to lead progress meetings to analyse attainment and progress of PP children and plan necessary interventions.  Time allocated for teachers to create provision maps, Personalised learning Plans, one page profiles and pupil portfolios to target support provided to PP pupils | Data shows a significant difference between the attainment of disadvantaged pupils compared to those in other groups, especially at the end of KS2. Raising awareness of these pupils will allow for better provision to be planned implemented and monitored  This approach was effective in 18/19. | Pupil Progress meetings led by Head, Assessment lead and SENCO to monitor effectiveness.  Regular review meetings with PP teachers and class teachers to discuss impact of provision on identified pupils  Ensure deployment of a highly skilled practitioner to deliver the tuition. Regular data analysis to monitor progress | HT/SENCO   | End of term 2                        |

| To continue to increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in maths                                      | High quality CPD for teachers and teaching assistants to further improve quality of teaching and learning.  2 teachers to continues working with the TRG Maths mastery programme  Full access to additional training opportunities provided by the Teaching Schools hub, particularly focusing in maths teaching and learning   | There is significant evidence to suggest that high quality teaching has a disproportionally positive impact on PP pupils.  This approach is also recognised as an effective way of enabling the PP grant to impact positively on all pupils. This includes GDS modelling and the development of construction skills through extensive practice.   | Staff encouraged and given time to share training with colleagues.  Impact evaluations to be completed a few weeks after training is completed.  Teaching and learning community reviews with a focus on vulnerable learners  | Headteacher<br>SENCO  | End of term 2<br>End of term 3<br>End of term 5  |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| To continue to increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in Literacy with a focus on oracy and writing | High quality CPD for teachers and teaching assistants to further improve quality of teaching and learning via talk for writing training for teachers and use of Read Write Inc Spelling – resources  Spelling age assessments used to deploy spelling support to ensure a reduction in the number of children whose fluency is below their chronological age  Use of KA for grammar | Successful approach 2019 – build on this approach for writing Research (EEF) shows that by developing pupils' language capability it will dramatically support pupils to develop fluent reading capabilities.  Use of NFER assessments to identify gaps in learning and validate teacher spelling assessments  EEF research fast and accurate spelling of an extensive vocabulary is a key component of writing fluency. There is limited high quality evidence about how to teach spelling, but it is clear that by analysing the types of spelling errors pupils make it is possible to provide support specific to their needs | Staff encouraged and given time to share training with colleagues.  Impact evaluations to be completed a few weeks after training is completed.  IPeal training for two teachrs Word of the day Talk 4 writing training  Monitoring termly via reading age and NFER test analysis  Moderation within the Salisbury cluster  Monitoring termly via spelling age and NFER test analysis | Headteacher/<br>SENCO | End of term 2<br>End of term 4<br>End of term 6  |
|   | <u>'</u>  |   | Total bu  | dgeted cost           | £3,500 Training<br>£2,500 Tteaching<br>£ 800 release<br>£ 500 materials<br>£4150 Total |

| Desired outcome Chosen action/approach   |   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead              | When will you review implementation?                   |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|
| To diminish the difference between the attainment of PP pupils and non-PP pupils at the end of KS1 and KS2 | 1 to 1 tuition for identified<br>pupils focusing on basic<br>skills acquisition in maths<br>spelling  | EEF research suggests indicates that high quality 1:1 tuition is one of the most effective ways of achieving accelerated progress.  Approach has been highly effective in raising attainment of PP pupils in other contexts.  Same approach to be implemented in both keystages from January 2018.   | Ensure the appointment of a highly skilled practitioner to deliver the tuition. Regular data analysis to monitor progress Good communication with parents to ensure that learning is enforced at  | PPG lead  Class teacher | End of term 2<br>End of term 3<br>End of term 5        |
| To continue to increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in maths                         | Weekly small group<br>sessions in maths for high-<br>attaining as well as low<br>attaining pupils with an<br>experienced teacher  | We want to provide extra support to maintain high attainment as well as improve low attainment. Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, in reliable evidence sources such as the EEF toolkit.  Use of Third Space learning in 2019 was effective in engaging and motivate pupils Outcomes in maths for this group show progress at +1.12 this is above the cohort whose progress score was -1.91 for this subject | Extra teaching time and preparation funded from PP budget not encouraged as additional and extra.  Impact overseen by maths subject leader  Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns/questions about additional sessions | HT<br>Maths Lead        | End of term 2<br>End of term 3<br>End of term 5        |
| To increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in writing                                   | Weekly small group sessions focusing on spelling and handwriting support Improve oracy skills by extending vocabularly  Improve technical vocabulary – implement knowledge organisers leveling pupil background knowledge and increasing confidence in cross curricular writing | Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, in reliable evidence sources such as the EEF toolkit.  Teaching and learning community research focuses on improvement of core knowledge and technical vocabulary to provide pupil Using foundations in memory and recall research by Atkinson and. Shiffrin and Baddeley  Spelliing and oracy interventions  | Organise timetable to ensure staff have preparation time and delivery time in a quiet area.  TD day time to plan KA for grammar – action research via teacher learning communities  | HT<br>Literacy Lead     | End of term 2<br>End of term 3<br>End of term 5        |
|  |   |  | Total bu  | dgeted cost             | 1:1Tuition £5,631<br>T&L research £800<br>Total £6,431 |

| Desired outcome  | Chosen<br>action/approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead  | When will you review implementation?  |
|--|---|--|---|-------------|---|
| Increased number of<br>children ready to learn<br>and responding<br>positively to feedback | Develop a growth mindset amongst the staff so that they can deliver learning based on high-expectations of all learners – ambition aspiration  Lesson study cycle – reviewing feedback and marking for impact                             | Pupil resilience is commonly raised as a barrier to learning in progress reviews. Pupil views of themselves as learners and ability to react positive to mistakes is low. Pupils tell us that they don't like improving work  Sutton Trust EEF research effective feedback and marking up +8 months gains Ofsted feedback – pupil discussions  | Training bought in to be delivered with all staff  Parent information sessions Termly assemblies  Lesson study via staff PDMS to ensure that a consistent and effective pedagogical approach is adopted | нт          | End of term 3 End of term 5 In pupil progress reviews and pupil discussions |
|  | Provision of ELSA and Time to talk  Employment of Part- time ELSA to provided targeted support to vulnerable pupils, giving them the best chance of being ready to learn  | Evidence 2017 that Raising of Self-esteem has led to improved attitudes and attendance – providing reactive support for high levels of emotional need.  ELSA sessions have a proven track record of increasing levels of self-esteem, leading to improved attitudes and attendance. Increasing the number of ELSA hours available will enable us to support more pupils in all year groups.  | Regular meetings with social skills lead to measure impact  | НТ          | Termly  |
|  | Small group sessions in KS1 led by Teaching Assistants running proven intervention programmes and working towards Personalised Learning Targets, directed by class teachers.  Counselling /Pastoral Service available to targeted pupils. | Mental health and wellbeing of pupils is a national priority. We have noticed a significant rise in the number of pupils facing very challenging circumstances outside of school. Increasing numbers of pupils, many with significant academic potential, are not in a place where they are ready to engage fully in learning.  Postive family Project  Mental health and well being workshops for whole school  KS1 family reading area | Regular meetings with ELSA to assess impact Pupil voice   | SENCO       | termly  |
|  |   | <u> </u>   | Total bu  | dgeted cost | Improved mindsets<br>£2500<br>ELSA £1421<br>PFP £2,500                      |

| of a number of children eligible for PP is impacting on their attainment and progress  Liaisc Famil school Abser pupils to sch asses  Attend celeb detern school Suppo | endance rewards incl<br>lebration of a<br>termination to be in<br>nool   | We cannot improve attainment for children if they are not actually attending school. Persistent absenteeism is a current DFE key priority and a measure by which Ofsted will be judging overall school effectiveness.  This was very effective in supporting vulnerable families in 2019  We believe that by offering a wide-range of extra-curricular opportunities will lead to increased engagement, improved selfesteem and ultimately improved attendance  Proven effective in other schools | Regular monitoring of attendance of targeted pupils Reasons for absence recorded on Sims  Frequent communication with parents to discuss funding opportunities  Monitoring of attendance at clubs and enrichment activities  Weekly attendance review | SENCO/Headt<br>eacher<br>SENCO/Headt<br>eacher | Termly  |
|--|--|---|---|--|---------|
| for PF visits,   | pport at CAMHS eetings ee or subsidised access PP pupils to residential its, breakfast club bsidised fees for                                    | Children will have access to opportunities which cannot always be provided at home including additional trips, swimming and experiences   | Regular review of impact  Monitoring of attendance at support and pupil/ parent voice   | PP lead  SENCO/Headt eacher                    | Termly  |
| circle Free poffere increa Colou provid  | usic/sport tuition/story cle ee places at paid clubs ered as an incentive for creased attendance cloured parts of uniform divided to ensure full |   | Total bu  | dgeted cost                                    | £8,000  |
|  |  |   |   | J  | £24,982 |

| 6. Review of exper   | 6. Review of expenditure   |  |  |   |   |         |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---------|--|--|--|
| Previous Academi   | c Year   | 2018 -   | 19   |   |   |         |  |  |  |
| i. Quality of teaching for all   |  |  |  |   |   |         |  |  |  |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action/approach   | success crite  | success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.  |   | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)  | Cost    |  |  |  |
| Diminish the difference between PP and non-PP pupils at the end of ks2 | One to one support by Teachers  PP Champion and SENCo to lead pupil progress meetings to analyse attainment and progress of PP children and plan necessary interventions.  Time allocated for teachers to create provision maps, Personalised Learning Plans and One Page Profiles to target support provided to PP pupils | Impact of of provaless effective the KS2 attainment end of KS2 Rea 3.3; Maths 60% Reading progres than the cohort combined  Analysis of pupit shows a signific Reading Maths  Attainment gap I Attainment of Pf than non-PP pup Comprehensive Personalised Le tailored provision | nan a qualified to across all subjecting 80% +1.28 +1.12 es for PPG pupi. Combined data and improvement Sept 18 40% 0% enas reduced sign pupils in reading pupils in reading one Page Profice arning Plans har | eacher  cts improved at the provided at the strain of the | Use of qualified teachers for PP interventions – review has shown that use of a supply teacher was less effective than a member of staff – sessions were more regular and liaison and accountability more robust  Progress from starting points were low – for Disadvantaged children with Special Educational Needs. Ensure staff training is widened to promote high aspirations Writing data remains low and is impacted by pupil attendance as well as spelling and handwriting issues.  Growth mindset culture staff raising aspirations for all learners  Third space learning was an effective use of PPG as pupils engaged positively and enjoyed personalised lessons  Dedicated time of Pupil Premium Champion allows for a flexible and rigorous approach to implementing and evaluated a number of strategies.  This will continue next year. | £14,299 |  |  |  |

| Increase the % of PP pupils who meet the expected standard in maths, reading and writing | Times table strategy TTRS  Reading age assessment – to ensure fluency – targeted interventions in maths and reading to focus interventions | EEF / Sutton Trust research indicates that high quality 1:1 tuition is one of the most effective ways of achieving accelerated progress.  Approach was highly effective in raising attainment of non-SEN PP pupils at KS1 and KS2  Third space learning was effective in engaging PPG pupils in dedicated learning support for maths – improvement fro 0% ARE in Sept to 60%ARE May 19  One to one tuition for Reading resulted in 80% in reading from 20% in September 18. The reading ages for all pupils were above chronological age at the end of the year with reading age improvements up to +2years for many.  Writing attainment was lower (40%) due to specific needs of pupils mainly spelling and transcription needs – a focus for 19/20 | Middle leaders programme influenced internal research – targeted leadership has improved leadership capacity in maths – parental monitoring of TTRS still an issue -  Use of volunteers resulted in inconsistency in assessment of tables recall – training for volunteers factored in for 2018/19  Create opportunities for PPG pupils to aspire to being readers and writers through enrichment eg author visits  PPG pupils enjoyed breakfast maths – attendance improved |      |
|--|--|---|--|------|
| ii. Targeted suppo   | ort  |   |  |      |
| Desired outcome  | Chosen action/approach   | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.  | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)   | Cost |
| Persistent absenteeism of PPG  | PSA support  | Parent supported through trauma.  Effective at reinforcing school message PSA attended meetings with parents Engagement with health visits Positive learning attitudes Attendance improved  | PSA support was crucial to keep parents on track This approach had no impact on attendance – this will not be used going forward. Engaging Downton for Families  |      |
|  | Attendance reviews   | Use of a taxi for one pupil for six weeks improved attendance up to 90%   | % gains can be marginal – explore further possibilities – breakfast club – CAF /health reviews   |      |
|  | Increased lunchtime clubs  | PPG priority attendance at clubs will led to increased engagement – improved self-esteem and ultimately improved attendance   | % gains for individuals showed increased engagement with extra curricular activities resulted in no further absences from school from Jan 18.  |      |

| iii. Other approaches |                                    |  |   |               |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---------------|--|--|--|
|                       | Emotional learning support tools   | Important in improving pupil-self esteem – needs regular impact review to be effective |   |               |  |  |  |
|                       | Uniform/trips/extra-<br>curricular | Pupils without uniform are provided with aspects which are missing                     | Pupils had a positive view of school and themselves – communication with home important in ensuring that uniform is available | Total £14,621 |  |  |  |

| 7. Additional detail |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|
| N/A                  |  |  |
|                      |  |  |
|                      |  |  |
|                      |  |  |